

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

F.R. KELLY & CO.
27 Clyde Road
Ballsbridge
Dublin 4
IRLANDE

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING INFORMAL
COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE APPLICANT

(PCT Rule 66.6)

	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 06.10.2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference P66285PC00	TRANSMITTAL FOR INFORMATION
International application No PCT/EP2004/006808	International filing date (day/month/year) 18.06.2004
Applicant NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND, GALWAY	

An informal communication took place on 27.09.2004, between the International Preliminary Examining Authority and the applicant / the agent.

A copy of the note on that communication (Form PCT/IPEA/428) is herewith transmitted for your information.

DW/P

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer Riepert, U Tel +49 89 2399-7588	
PCT/IPEA/429		

Vertrag über die internationale Zusammenarbeit auf dem Gebiet des Patentwesens
Patent Cooperation Treaty
Traité de coopération en matière de brevets

10/562790

PCT

IAP20 Rec'd PCT/P10 29 DEC 2005

Application No.:

PCT/EP2004/006808

Note on an informal communication by telephone with the Applicant

A copy of this note is being sent to the Applicant for information

Participants

Applicant: National University of Ireland, Galway

Representative: Conor Boyce

Examiner(s): Eduardo Carballo da Costa

Summary of the communication

Mr. Conor Boyce contacted the examiner for explaining the difference between the prior art document used in the International Preliminary Examination Report, namely, XP1183359 (hereinafter referred to as D1), and the invention.

The invention deals with ascertaining the relative rate of a remote media card clock to the remote real time rate and therefore determining clock skew.

According to Mr. Boyce, D1 determines the RTP packet transit times, in other words, the end to end delay and therefore it deals with jitter measurement and it does not deal with clock skew determination.

With respect to present claim 1, the last sentence, namely: "determining from two or more of said received control packets a first relative rate of a remote media card clock to the remote real time rate", should be new over the prior art.

The examiner, after reviewing again the application and the prior art, agrees on the reasoning of Mr. Boyce.

No other matters were dealt with.



PCT/EP2004/006808

Vertrag über die internationale Zusammenarbeit auf dem Gebiet des Patentwesens
Patent Cooperation Treaty
Traité de coopération en matière de brevets

PCT

Application No.:

PCT/EP2004/006808

27.09.2004

Date

Eduardo Carballo da Costa

Authorized officer of IPEA